| 1 | BEFORE THE CITY OF BRISBANE PLANNING DIVISION |
|----|---|
| 2 | PANEL OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | RE: DRAFT E.I.R. PUBLIC COMMENT MEETING |
| 8 | TUESDAY, OCTOBER 29, 2013 |
| 9 | 7:01 P.M. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY: |
| 16 | JENNIFER M. RODRIGUES |
| 17 | CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER NO. 9484 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | BONNIE L. WAGNER & ASSOCIATES CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS |
| 22 | 41 SUTTER STREET, SUITE NO. 1605 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104 |
| 23 | (415) 982-4849 |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Welcome. This is a meeting as the talking points of the Planning Commission Draft E.I.R. Public Comment Meeting on behalf of the City of Brisbane and the planning commission. I want to welcome you to tonight's meeting, and we have a speaker. Speaker No. 1 is Ken McIntire.

KEN McINTIRE: Well, I'm Ken

McIntire from San Bruno Mountain Watch, and thank

you all for being here. I got here a little early

because I thought that I didn't want to stand in

line. (laughter)

I -- the scope of the E.I.R. is both stimulating and daunting. I -- it seems like an encyclopedia to me; and just as a reference, like, if I want to talk about the geology or the archaeological history or, you know, the chemicals in the Baylands, I mean, as a reference, that document -- I think it's great.

I think it's probably really hard for most citizens to actually get into it because, in any chapter, you got to go 15 pages before you get to the the questions about, you know, whether -- whether there are going to be impacts; and you really need all of the background in order

to understand those questions.

So I think it's just really tough, and I expect to be spending more time and make written comments before the deadline. My comments and questions tonight are just basically pretty general. One is in the biological section.

At the beginning it mentions that there were four reconnaissance field trips over six years, three or more in the spring, and one was in June; and I really question whether that's enough, surveying to really capture the biological resources in the Baylands.

So that's one question, and then I've always had a lot of questions about the ability of humans to build structures that are going to hold up in the Baylands with the -- an area that's subject to liquefaction and strong shaking, and it's not just that.

You might be able to, you know, put some pilings into the ground and have the building stand up, but then every piling is going through toxic material and has to be -- every piling has to be sealed; and I just don't see how, the more buildings you put up, the more -- the more problem you're going to have after an earthquake resealing

2.2

all of those pilings; and, you know, on top of
that, you've got sea-level rise coming.

So that -- I just really -- when I
read this, "Oh, yeah. These are significant
impacts," but we can mitigate for these.

I just have a huge pile of skepticism about them, especially in light of, you know, world events like Fukushima and stuff like that; and the engineers are always saying they can make things work. That's their job.

Third question: Given the -- oh, that was the third question -- it looks like I repeated the question there. Then I'll move onto the next one.

There's a Hunter proposed mitigation measures in the document, and the sustainability section adds even more.

Bruno Mountain Watch during the -- the northeast ridge battle couple of years ago and having read the habitat conversation plan, huge document that gets amended all the time, (indicating) and seeing all of the plans; all of the mitigations; this is what we're going to do here; and that's going to -- what we're going to do there and seeing that

even since that battle and the northeast ridge project going forward, you know, troubles with getting homeowners within the homeowners' associations to comply with the mitigations and regulations how, when you've got hundreds and hundreds of mitigations that are going to change over time as -- as the land settles and there are little cracks in the roadways and somebody is going to come out and fix that or the climate is changing -- and so the plants that they planted in the wetlands aren't surviving to the level that they are supposed to, who is going to be checking all of those things and evaluating what's effective and what's not?

And then who is going to be enforcing the regulations and enforcing consequences?

It just seems like an overwhelming task to me, and then finally none of the plans that are considered mention the existence of the Mission Blue Nursery and that section there right behind the fire station; and it, by this point, is a regional resource; and I'm not sure how it got omitted when they talk about what's going to be there in the future, but it's a solid building.

```
1
                     It's not producing much, if any,
 2
      pollution; and it's a resource. It should be in
      there. Thank you.
 3
 4
                    COMMISSIONER REINHARDT: Thank you.
 5
                    COMMISSIONER PARKER: Thank you.
 6
                    COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM:
                                               Thanks,
 7
      Ken.
 8
                    Would anyone else like to speak at
 9
      this time?
10
                    KANJI NISHIJIMA: Oh, that's it? I
11
      mean, I want to have a chance eventually but --
12
                    COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Come on.
13
      (indicating)
14
                    KANJI NISHIJIMA: You know what?
15
                    COMMISSIONER PARKER: Did you fill
16
      out one of these cards?
17
                    KANJI NISHIJIMA: No. I don't see
18
      them.
19
                    COMMISSIONER PARKER: Yeah. Come on
20
      up and start speaking.
21
                    KANJI NISHIJIMA: Okay. Yeah.
22
      had some question:
23
                    One was I tried to go through as
24
      much as I could about in these different
25
      documents.
```

It seems impossible, but I did my best, and I didn't notice anything regarding the rising sea levels that are predicted -- what measures are being taken to address those, and I don't know what they were going to be expecting; but, you know, once I had heard that it was going to be like, I don't know it was realistic or not; but it seems like a 50-foot rise in the sea levels.

Just wondering if it was addressed in some manner in the E.I.R., and another -- another that isn't -- you know, I read through different mitigations and different -- you know, different ways that they were going to address the increased traffic and an increased population and impact on the community, and I -- it's just -- I can't imagine it being as clean and as well thought out as this plan suggested it will be.

Just doesn't seem possible, and so I don't know if that can be answered because I know there are -- you know, what they talked about the different ideas about carpooling and all of that kind of, you know, giving people bus passes -- it doesn't seem like it's going to be very effective

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Thank you.

1 in addressing the increased traffic and, you know, 2 the kind of growth that they're expecting. 3 I mean, it did say something about, 4 you know, it's going to be a substantial impact 5 on -- on the, you know -- the number of houses and number of people and all the -- all of that going 6 7 I just didn't understand how that addressed 8 that question very well. 9 It just seemed to be kind of unrealistic, and I think that was it. You know, I 10 11 assumed. I didn't see it, but I assumed there the 12 earthquake issues are being addressed and that in 13 there somewhere, and I couldn't find that either; but it must be in there somewhere, I would assume, 14 because -- because, you know, the Hayward 15

COMMISSIONER PARKER: So, Kanji, can you state your name.

earthquake is ready to go any second now; and when

that happens, how does the -- how do these plans

basically address -- address the inevitable?

KANJI NISHIJIMA: Oh, I'm sorry.

Kanji Nishijima -- I'm a Brisbane resident -
102 Monterey Street.

COMMISSIONER PARKER: And then fill

```
1
      the card out.
 2
                    KANJI NISHIJIMA: Okay.
                                              Thanks.
 3
                    COMMISSIONER REINHARDT:
                                              Thank you.
 4
                    COMMISSIONER PARKER: Thank you very
 5
      much.
 6
                    At this time would anyone else like
 7
      to speak?
 8
                    FRAN MARTIN: I'm not prepared.
 9
      just came to see what's happening tonight, but
      I'll say something. I can't help myself.
10
11
                    I haven't read the thing, you know.
      I'm Fran Martin from the Visitation Valley.
12
13
      with the Visitation Valley Grooming Project and
14
      Visitation Valley Planning Rights.
15
                    COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM:
16
                    FRAN MARTIN: As I said, I haven't
      read it, but I'm -- I've been saying this all
17
18
      along. I hope that Brisbane pays attention to the
19
      needs of Visitation Valley. I do know that most
20
      of the development is going to be in the northern
21
      part of the site; and that's really, you know,
2.2
      more consequence to our neighborhood than it is to
      Brisbane.
23
24
                    So we're concerned about traffic,
```

about the aesthetics, just -- just all of those

things -- excuse me -- that -- I'm catching a cold -- that if there's going to be housing, even if there's not going to be housing, we would like to have a green connection with all the green that you're going to have, all the open space that you're going to have in the southern part of the site.

So and we're also very, very concerned about ecology particularly since we're putting 650 units of housing at Schlage Lock. I know that all that whole process is coming up, but I would hope that you would pay attention to the needs of your neighborhood particularly Schlage Lock and the neighborhood particularly the aesthetics to what's going to happen with ecology.

There needs to be some kind of barrier, physical barrier between physical -- visual barrier between -- and noise barrier between that new development, that ecology, you know, upgrading their facility. We're not against that happening, and we're not against development, and that's it. I don't have anything, you know, specific until I look at that document.

COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Thanks,

Fran.

```
1
                    FRAN MARTIN: Do I give this to you?
 2
                    COMMISSIONER PARKER:
                                           Thank you,
 3
      Fran.
 4
                    So, again, this is the City of
 5
      Brisbane and the planning commission; and we are
      here for the Draft E.I.R. Public Comment Meeting,
 6
 7
      and if you wish to make a comment, please come and
      state your name; and we'll be thrilled to hear
 9
      from you. Thank you.
10
                     (Whereupon, from 7:15 P.M. until
11
                     7:38 P.M., a recess was taken.)
12
                    COMMISSIONER PARKER: Welcome.
                                                     On
      behalf the City of Brisbane and the planning
13
14
      commission, we are here currently to accept public
15
      comment for the draft E.I.R.
16
                    At this point we've had three
17
      speakers.
                If anyone else wants to come down,
18
      please feel free and bring your thoughts. If we
19
      do not have anyone in the next 20 minutes or so, I
      think we will probably decide that we will not
20
21
      have anyone and close up the meeting, but please
22
      feel to come down and make comments. Thank you
23
      very much.
24
                    (Whereupon, from 7:39 P.M. until
25
                     8:01 P.M., a recess was taken.)
```

1 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Did you want 2 to come, speak one more time? 3 COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Are we on? 4 COMMISSIONER PARKER: Kanji? 5 KANJI NISHIJIMA: Hi. Kanji 6 Nishijima. 7 I wanted to add to my previous 8 comments or questions. What I wanted to do was 9 basically -- now that I've had a chance to, at 10 least, go through the summary, it -- it -- this 11 seems to confirm my concerns; and that is that the 12 traffic -- the issues with all the traffic and all 13 the disruption to the different roadways apparently will be significant and unavoidable, 14 15 and I don't think that's acceptable at all. 16 Also the air quality -- apparently 17 it's going to be higher than considered 18 acceptable; and, you know, certainly the fact that 19 we are in a situation where global warming is a factor, is a fact, we need to take every measure 20 21 possible to do our part to try and minimize things 22 as they get worse; and this -- apparently according to this, according to the summary, these 23 projects will, in fact, be significant -- these 24

projects will, in fact, adversely impact our air

```
quality, contrary to the -- what is it? -- clean
1
     air plan; and for those reasons, I, as a resident
2
     of Brisbane, would choose not to see these
3
     projects go ahead. Okay. Thank you.
4
                    COMMISSIONER PARKER: Thank you very
5
     much.
6
                    Does anyone else wish to speak?
7
                    Well, at this time, I think we will
8
      close the public comment for the draft E.I.R.; and
9
      thank you very much for your participation, and
10
      thank you very much. Bye-bye.
11
                     (Meeting was adjourned at 8:04 P.M.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```